# Financial Reporting and Corporate Governance in Developing Countries: A literature Review

## Orobah Ali Barghouthi

Faculty of Business and Economics, Department of Banking & Finance, Alguds University, Abu Dis, Palestine.

### **Abstract**

Transparency is one of those terms that have many facets. It is used in different ways. It can refer to the openness of governmental functions. It can also refer to a country's economy. Or it can refer to various aspects of corporate governance and financial reporting. The Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development (OECD, 1998) lists transparency as one element of good corporate governance. Kulzick (2004) and others (Blanchet, 2002; Prickett, 2002) view transparency from a user perspective. According to their view, transparency includes the following eight concepts: accuracy, consistency, appropriateness, completeness, clarity, time- liness, convenience, and governance and enforcement. This paper focuses on just one aspect of transparency – timeliness.

Keywords: Financial Reporting, Corporate Governance, APB.

The International Accounting Standards Board considers timeliness to be an essential aspect of financial reporting. In Accounting Principles Board (APB) State- ment No. 4, the APB (1970) in the USA listed timeliness as one of the qualitative objectives of financial reporting disclosure. APB Statement No. 4 was later super-seded but the Financial Accounting Standards Board continued to recognize the importance of timeliness in its *Statement of Financial Accounting Concepts No. 2* (1980). The U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission also recognizes the importance of timeliness and requires that listed companies file their annual 10-K reports by a certain deadline. The OECD (2004) lists timeliness as a principle of good corporate governance.

The issue of timeliness has several facets. There is an inverse relationship between the quality of financial information and the timeliness with which it is reported (Kenley & Staubus, 1974). Accounting information becomes less relevant with the passage of time (Atiase, Bamber, & Tse, 1989; Hendriksen & van Breda, 1992; Lawrence & Glover, 1998).

There is some evidence to suggest that it takes more time to report bad news than good news (Bates, 1968; Beaver, 1968), both because companies hesitate to report bad news and because companies take more time to mas- sage the numbers or resort to creative accounting techniques when they have to report bad news (Givoli & Palmon, 1982; Chai & Tung, 2002; Trueman, 1990). Stated differently, there seems to be a tendency to rush good news to press, such as better-than-expected earnings, and delay the reporting of bad news or less-than-expected earnings (Chambers & Penman, 1984; Kross & Schroeder, 1984). Dwyer and Wilson (1989) found this relationship to hold true for municipalities. Haw, Qi, and Wu (2000) found it to be the case with

Chinese companies. Leventis and Weetman (2004) found it to be the case for Greek firms.

However, Annaert, DeCeuster, Polfliet, and Campenhout (2002) found that this was not the case for Belgian companies, and Han and Wang (1998) found that this was not the case for petroleum refining companies, which delayed reporting extraordinarily high profits during the Gulf crisis of the 1990s, perhaps because political repercussions outweighed what would otherwise have been a good market reaction. Rees and Giner (2001) found that companies in France, Germany, and the UK tended to report bad news sooner than good news.

A study by Basu (1997) found that companies tend to report bad news quicker than good news, presumably because of conservatism. Gigler and Hemmer (2001) discuss this point in their study, which finds that firms with more conservative accounting systems are less likely to make timely voluntary disclosures than are firms with less conservative accounting systems.

Building upon the Basu study (1997), Pope and Walker (1999) found that there were cross-jurisdictional effects when extraordinary items were either included or excluded, using US and UK firms for comparison. Han and Wild (1997) examined the potential relationship between earnings timeliness and the share price reactions of competing firms. But Jindrichovska and Mcleay (2005) found that there was no evidence of conservatism in the Czech accounting system when it came to report- ing bad news earlier than good news, presumably because the Czech tax system offers little incentive to do so. Ball, Kothari, and Robin (2000) found that companies in jurisdictions that have a strong shareholder orientation tend to disclose earnings information sooner than companies in countries operating under a legal code system.

There is also a relationship between the speed with which financial results are announced and the effect the announcement has on stock prices. If information is released sooner, the effect on stock prices is more pronounced. The longer the time

lapse between year-end and the release of the financial information, the less effect there is on stock price, all other things being equal (Ball & Brown, 1968; Brown & Kennelly, 1972). This phenomenon can be explained by the fact that financial infor- mation seems to seep into the stock price over time, so the more time that elapses between year-end and the release of the financial reports, the more such information is already included in the stock price.

Some countries report financial results faster than other countries (Mc Gee & Preobragenskaya, 1005; 2006). DeCeuster and Trappers (1993) found that Belgian companies take longer to report their financial results than do Anglo-Saxon countries. Annaert et al. (2002) found this to be the case for interim information as well. Companies can report financial results faster on the internet and the information can be more widely disbursed but posting 2-year-old annual reports does nothing to improve timeliness (Ashbaugh, Johnstone, & Warfield, 1999).

Atiase et al. (1989) found that large companies report earnings faster than small companies and that the reporting of earnings has a more significant market reac- tion for small firms than for large firms. In a study of Australian firms, Davies and Whittred (1980) found that small firms and large firms made significantly more timely reports than medium-size firms and that profitability was not a significant variable.

Whittred (1980) found that the release of financial information for Australian companies is delayed the first time an audit firm issues a qualified report and that the extent of the delay is longer in cases where the qualification is more serious. Keller (1986) replicated that study for US companies and found the same thing to be true. Whittred and Zimmer (1984) found that it took Australian firms in financial distress a significantly longer time to publish their financial information. A study of more than 5,000 annual reports of French companies found that it took longer to release audit reports where there had been a qualified opinion, and that the more serious the qualification, the greater the delay in releasing the report (Soltani, 2002; also see Ashton, Graul & Newton,

1989).

Krishnan (2005) found that the audit firm's degree of expertise has an effect on the timeliness of the publication of bad earnings news. Audit firms that specialize in the industry in which the company operates are timelier in reporting bad financial news than are audit firms that have less industry expertise.

The OECD (2004) lists timeliness as a principle of good corporate governance. The World Bank conducted more than 40 studies of various aspects of corporate governance in various countries. More than 20 of those studies examined corporate governance practices in developing economies. One item looked at the timeliness and accuracy of financial disclosure. It ranked timeliness and accuracy into the fol-lowing five categories:

O = Observed

LO = Largely Observed

PO = Partially Observed

MNO = Materially Not Observed

NO = Not Observed

### References

- Accounting Principles Board. (1970). Basic concepts and accounting principles underlying finan-cial statements of business enterprises statement no. 4. New York: American Institute of Certified Public Accountants.
- Annaert, J., DeCeuster, M. J. K., Polfliet, R., & Campenhout, G. V. (2002). To be or not be . . . 'too late': The case of the Belgian semi-annual earnings announcements. *Journal of Busi- ness Finance & Accounting*, 29(3 & 4), 477–495.
  - Ashbaugh, H., Johnstone, K. M., & Warfield, T. D. (1999). Corporate reporting on the internet. *Accounting Horizons*, *13*(3), 241–257.
- Ashton, R. H., Graul, P. R., & Newton, J. D. (1989). Audit delay and the timeliness of corporate reporting. Contemporary Accounting Research, 5(2), 657–673.
- Atiase, R. K., Bamber, L. S., & Tse, S. (1989). Timeliness of financial reporting, the firm size effect, and stock price reactions to annual earnings announcements. *Contemporary Accounting Research*, 5(2), 526–552.
- Ball, R., & Brown, P. (1968). An empirical evaluation of accounting income numbers. *Journal of Accounting Research*, 6, 159–178.
- Ball, R., Kothari, S.P., & Robin, A. (2000). The effect of international institutional factors on properties of accounting earnings. *Journal of Accounting and Economics*, 29(1), 1–51.
- Basu, S. (1997). The conservatism principle and the asymmetric timeliness of earnings. *Journal of Accounting & Economics*, 24, 3–37.
  - Bates, R. J. (1968). Discussion of the information content of annual earnings announcements. *Journal of Accounting Research*, 6(Supp.), 93–95.
- Beaver, W.H. (1968). The information content of annual earnings announcements. *Journal of Accounting Research*, 6(Supp), 67–92.
  - Blanchet, J. (2002). Global standards offer opportunity. Financial Executive (March/April), 28–30.
- Brown, P., & Kennelly, J. W. (1972). The information content of quarterly earnings: An extension and some further evidence. *Journal of Business*, 45, 403–415.
- Chai, M. L., & Tung, S. (2002). The effect of earnings-announcement timing on earnings manage- ment. *Journal of Business Finance & Accounting*, 29(9 & 10), 1337–1354.

- Chambers, A. E., & Penman, S. H. (1984). Timeliness of reporting and the stock price reaction to earnings announcements. *Journal of Accounting Research*, 22(1), 21–47.
- Davies, B., & Whittred. G. P. (1980). The association between selected corporate attributes and timeliness in corporate reporting: Further analysis. *Abacus*, 16(1), 48–60.
- DeCeuster, M., & Trappers, D. (1993). *Determinants of the timeliness of Belgian financial state- ments*. Working Paper, University of Antwerp, cited in Annaert et al, 2002.
- Demos, T. (2006, February 6). The Russia 50: The country's largest public companies. Fortune, 153(2), 70-71.
- Dwyer, P. D., & Wilson, E. R. (1989). An empirical investigation of factors affecting the timeliness of reporting by municipalities. *Journal of Accounting and Public Policy*, 8(1), 29–55.
- Financial Accounting Standards Board. (1980). Statement of financial accounting concepts no. 2, qualitative characteristics of accounting information. Stamford, CT: Author.
- Gigler, F. B., & Hemmer, T. (2001). Conservatism, optimal disclosure policy, and the timeliness of financial reports. *The Accounting Review, 76*(4), 471–493.
- Givoli, D., & Palmon, D. (1982). Timeliness of annual earnings announcements: Some empirical evidence. *The Accounting Review*, 57(3), 486–508.
- Han, J. C.Y., & Wang, S.-W. (1998). Political costs and earnings management of oil companies during the 1990 Persian Gulf crises. *The Accounting Review*, 73, 103–117.
  - Han, J. C.Y., & Wild, J. J. (1997). Timeliness of reporting and earnings information transfers. *Journal of Business Finance & Accounting*, 24(3&4), 527–540.
- Haw, I.-M., Qi, D., & Wu, W. (2000). Timeliness of annual report releases and market reaction to earnings announcements in an emerging capital market: The case of China. *Journal of Interna- tional Financial Management and Accounting*, 11(2), 108–131.
  - Hendriksen, E. S., & van Breda, M. F. (1992). *Accounting theory* (5th ed.). Burr Ridge, IL: Irwin. Jindrichovska, I., & Mcleay, S. (2005). Accounting for good news and accounting for bad news: Some empirical evidence from the Czech Republic. *European Accounting Review*, 14(3): 635–655.
- Keller, S. B. (1986). Reporting timeliness in the presence of subject to audit qualifications. *Journal of Business Finance & Accounting*, 13(1), 117–124.
- Kenley, W. J., & Staubus, G. J. (1974). Objectives and concepts of financial statements. *Account- ing Review, 49*(4), 888–889.
- Krishnan, G. V. (2005). The association between big 6 auditor industry expertise and the asymmet- ric timeliness of earnings. *Journal of Accounting, Auditing & Finance*, 20(3), 209–228.
- Kross, W., & Schroeder, D.A. (1984). An empirical investigation of the effect of quarterly earnings announcement timing on stock returns. *Journal of Accounting Research*, 22(1), 153–176.
- Kulzick, R. S. (2004). Sarbanes-Oxley: Effects on financial transparency. S.A.M. Advanced Management Journal, 69(1), 43–49.
- Lawrence, J. E., & Glover, H. D. (1998). The effect of audit firm mergers on audit delay. *Journal of Managerial Issues*, 10(2), 151–164.
- Leventis, S., & Weetman, P. (2004). Timeliness of financial reporting: Applicability of disclosure theories in an emerging capital market. *Accounting and Business Research*, 34(1), 43–56.
- McGee, R. W. (2006). Timeliness of financial reporting in the energy sector. *Russian/CIS Energy & Mining Law Journal*, 4(2), 6–10.
- McGee, R. W. (2007a). Corporate governance in Russia: A case study of timeliness of financial reporting in the

- telecom industry, International Finance Review, 7, 365–390.
- McGee, R. W. (2007b). *Corporate governance and the timeliness of financial reporting: A case study of the Russian energy sector.* Fifth International Conference on Accounting and Finance in Transition. London, July 12–14.
- McGee, R. W. (2007c). Transparency and disclosure in Russia. In T. M. Mickiewicz (ed.), Corporate governance and finance in Poland and Russia (pp. 278–295). London: Palgrave Macmillan.
- McGee, R. W., & Igoe, D. N. (2008). Corporate governance and the timeliness of financial reporting: A comparative study of selected EU and Transition countries. *Proceedings of the 43rd Annual Western Regional Meeting of the American Accounting Association, San Francisco, May 1–3*, pp. 74–87.
- McGee, R. W., & Preobragenskaya, G. G. (2005). Accounting and financial system reform in a transition economy: A case study of Russia. New York: Springer.
- McGee, R. W., & Preobragenskaya, G. G. (2006). Accounting and financial system reform in Eastern Europe and Asia. New York: Springer.
- McGee, R. W., & Tarangelo, T. (2008). The timeliness of financial reporting and the Russian banking system: An empirical study. In R. W. McGee (ed.), *Accounting reform in transition and developing economies*. New York: Springer.
- McGee, R. W., & Yuan, X. (2008). Corporate governance and the timeliness of financial report- ing: An empirical study of the People's Republic of China. *International Journal of Business, Accounting and Finance*, forthcoming.
- Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development. (1998). *Global Corporate Governance Principles*. Paris: Author.
  - OECD (2004). OECD Principles of Corporate Governance. Paris: Author.
- Pope, P. F., & Walker, M. (1999). International differences in the timeliness, conservatism, and classification of earnings. *Journal of Accounting Research*, 37(Supp.), 53–87.
  - Prickett, R. (2002). Sweet clarity. Financial Management (September), 18-20.
- Rees, W. P., & Giner. B. (2001). On the asymmetric recognition of good and bad news in France, Germany and the UK. *Journal of Business Finance & Accounting*, 28(9&10), 1285–1332.
- Soltani, B. (2002). Timeliness of corporate and audit reports: Some empirical evidence in the French context. *The International Journal of Accounting*, *37*, 215–246.
- Trueman, B. (1990). Theories of earnings-announcement timing. *Journal of Accounting & Eco-nomics*, 13, 285–301.
  - Whittred, G., & Zimmer, I. (1984). Timeliness of financial reporting and financial distress.
  - *The Accounting Review*, 59(2), 287–295.
  - Whittred, G. P. (1980). Audit qualification and the timeliness of corporate annual reports.
  - The Accounting Review, 55(4), 563–577.
- World Bank. (2001). Report on the Observance of Standards and Codes (ROSC), Corporate Gov- ernance Country Assessment, Republic of Croatia, September. World Bank. Retrieved from www.worldbank.org.
- World Bank. (2002a). Report on the Observance of Standards and Codes (ROSC), Corporate Gov- ernance Country Assessment, Bulgaria, September. Washington, DC: World Bank. Retrieved from www.worldbank.org.
- World Bank. (2002b). Report on the Observance of Standards and Codes (ROSC), Corporate Gov- ernance Country Assessment, Czech Republic, July. Washington, DC: World Bank. Retrieved from www.worldbank.org.
- World Bank. (2002c). Report on the Observance of Standards and Codes (ROSC), Corporate Governance Country Assessment, Georgia, March. Washington, DC: World Bank. Retrieved from www.worldbank.org.
- World Bank. (2002d). Report on the Observance of Standards and Codes (ROSC), Corporate Governance Country

- Assessment, Latvia, December. Washington, DC: World Bank. Retrieved from www.worldbank.org.
- World Bank. (2002e). Report on the Observance of Standards and Codes (ROSC), Corporate Governance Country Assessment, Republic of Lithuania, July. Washington, DC: World Bank. Retrieved from www.worldbank.org.
- World Bank. (2003a). Report on the Observance of Standards and Codes (ROSC), Corporate Gov- ernance Country Assessment, Hungary, February. Washington, DC: World Bank. Retrieved from www.worldbank.org.
- World Bank. (2003b). Report on the Observance of Standards and Codes (ROSC), Corporate Governance Country Assessment, Slovak Republic, October. Washington, DC: World Bank. Retrieved from www.worldbank.org.
- World Bank. (2004a). Report on the Observance of Standards and Codes (ROSC), Corporate Governance Country Assessment, Moldova, May. Washington, DC: World Bank. Retrieved from www.worldbank.org.
- World Bank. (2004b). Report on the Observance of Standards and Codes (ROSC), Corporate Governance Country Assessment, Romania, April. Washington, DC: World Bank. Retrieved from www.worldbank.org.
- World Bank. (2004c). Report on the Observance of Standards and Codes (ROSC), Corporate Gov- ernance Country Assessment, Slovenia, May. Washington, DC: World Bank. Retrieved from www.worldbank.org.
- World Bank. (2005a), Report on the Observance of Standards and Codes (ROSC), Corporate Governance Country Assessment, Armenia, April. Washington, DC: World Bank. Retrieved from www.worldbank.org.
- World Bank. (2005b). Report on the Observance of Standards and Codes (ROSC), Corporate Governance Country Assessment, Azerbaijan, July. Washington, DC: World Bank. Retrieved from www.worldbank.org.
- World Bank. (2005c). Report on the Observance of Standards and Codes (ROSC), Corporate Governance Country Assessment, Macedonia, June. Washington, DC: World Bank. Retrieved from www.worldbank.org.
- World Bank. (2005d). Report on the Observance of Standards and Codes (ROSC), Corporate Governance Country Assessment, Poland, June. Washington, DC: World Bank. Retrieved from www.worldbank.org.
- World Bank. (2006a). Report on the Observance of Standards and Codes (ROSC), Corporate Gov- ernance Country Assessment, Bosnia and Herzegovina, June. Washington, DC: World Bank. Retrieved from www.worldbank.org.
- World Bank. (2006b). Report on the Observance of Standards and Codes (ROSC), Corporate Governance Country Assessment, Ukraine, October. Washington, DC: World Bank. Retrieved from www.worldbank.org.

# **Copyrights**

Copyright for this article is retained by the author(s), with first publication rights granted to the journal. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).